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Foreword

I would like to express my appreciation to Kota Kita for trusting Semarang to host the 4th Urban Social Forum 2016.

Semarang always welcomes collaborative events that showcase the knowledge, contributions and partnership of Indonesian civil society, citizens, national, and international organizations.

Residents of Semarang have recognized a great deal of transformation in the city over the past few years. At the heart of these transformations is making the city a more resilient and livable place for citizens. The Semarang City Government has always prioritized partnership with its citizens to promote resilience and sustainability. The Urban Social Forum celebrates this idea — for cities to become truly resilient places, that can enable citizens to prosper and thrive, they need to become more inclusive and harness the potential of their diversity.

On December 3, 2016, we welcomed more than 1,000 participants from across Indonesia to take part in the Urban Social Forum at the historic SMA 1. A lot of them came from cities in South Sulawesi, Sumatra, Kalimantan and all across Java, and joined friends from around the world who share the same vision — to make our cities better, socially just, resilient, and sustainable. Together, we share this awareness of the need to take action, and we must act before it is too late.

I also believe that civil society is essential to ensure for citizen participation. This Forum has shown how much civil society organizations, community groups, volunteers and NGOs are doing. They are helping to organize communities, facilitate planning, raise awareness, give technical advice to governments, introduce innovation and technology, and influence policy.

The Urban Social Forum has given us great hope for future collaboration to build better cities. Thousands of ideas, thousand of initiatives and projects are already going on in cities. I can see that the spirit of working together to address our problems is possible, and our dream for stronger Indonesian cities in the future is also possible.

Holi Bina Wijaya
Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang
4th URBAN SOCIAL FORUM
Another city is possible!
Introduction

This Event Report records key moments of the 4th Urban Social Forum in Semarang, Indonesia, on December 3, 2016 and reaffirms the overarching vision of the annual Forum. In its fourth year, the Urban Social Forum is an annual event organized by members of civil society organizations, groups, and individuals. It aims to create an inclusive, public and democratic space for people from across Indonesia to discuss and re-imagine what kind of cities we want to live in, and to create a vision for change. Under the slogan ‘Another City is Possible!’ the event promotes the idea of the need for new and alternative models of urban development that promote social justice, equity, sustainability, and citizen participation.

This report summarizes the 31 different panel session and workshops and offers a glimpse of the diverse and rich discussions that took place during the one-day event. It provides participants’ reactions and thoughts, and shares the names and institutions of the different panelists, moderators and institutions in attendance. 1,000 participants from more than 30 cities in Indonesia attended the 4th Urban Social Forum, representing communities, civil society organizations and universities. Participants were able to meet with and learn from speakers from 220 institutions. The 4th Urban Social Forum also featured side-events that were co-organized by Yayasan Kota Kita with different organizations from other cities in Indonesia and international organizations. For the first time, the Urban Social Forum was livestreamed through video social media service and a chatroom as a part of the World Social Forum initiative and the World Social Forum 2016 extension. It attracted participation of more than 400 remote participants. We also held a walking tour in collaboration and

Finally, we held a workshop in collaboration with Global Platform for Right to the City called “The Right to the City: Values, Principles, Operationalisation on the ground”.

Yayasan Kota Kita initiated the Urban Social Forum in 2013 and has been supported tremendously by voluntary contributions from individuals and partners from all over Indonesia ever since. The Urban Social Forum is an annual event that is now in its fourth year. The 1st and 2nd Urban Social Forums were held in Solo (2013 and 2014) while last year’s event was held in Surabaya. Each year the event has grown in popularity, initially starting with only 100 participants, last year’s event drew 1,100 participants from over 30 cities from throughout Indonesia and Asia. The growing relevance for the Urban Social Forum can be attributed to a growing awareness of the need for citizens and organized civil society to actively engage with current debates about improving our cities and find ways to resolve today’s complex urban problems.

In 2016, there was a consistent number of participants with bigger, more diverse supports from a lot more different organizations. One of the principle aims of the 4th Urban Social Forum is to bring socially marginalized urban issues to the forefront of public discussion and in doing so support efforts to raise awareness about them. It has been extremely encouraging for the organizers to see increasing interest and supports for our platform, as we see strong representation of a young generation of social activists, the disabled community, women groups, the urban poor, human rights defender, and civil society organizations from cities beyond Java.

Ahmad Rifai
Director of Yayasan Kota Kita
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# Agenda

**SMAN 1 Semarang**

**Saturday, 03 December 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08.00 - 09.00</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.00 - 09.05</td>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 09.05 - 09.20 | Opening Remarks: Hand-in-hand, Towards Resilient and Socially Just Cities 
Urip Sihabudin, Head of Central Java Development Planning and Agency |
| 09.20 - 10.45 | Plenary: Partnering to Promote Inclusive and Resilient Cities 
Moderator: Ahmad Rifai, Kota Kita 
Speakers: 
1. Purnomo Dwi Sasonko (Secretary of Bappeda Semarang City) 
2. Yu Sing (Akanoma Studio) 
3. Risnawati Utami (Ohana - Handicap Organization) |
| 10.45 - 12.15 | Parallel 1                                                                 |
| 12.15 - 13.15 | Break                                                                   |
| 13.15 - 14.45 | Parallel 2                                                                 |
| 14.45 - 16.15 | Parallel 3                                                                 |
| 16.15 - 16.30 | Break                                                                   |
| 17.30 - 18.30 | Break                                                                   |
| 18.30 - 21.00 | Art Performances and Film Screening                                       |
Opening Plenary

Partnering to Promote Inclusive and Resilient Cities

Moderator:
Ahmad Rifai,
Yayasan Kota Kita

Speakers:
Purnomo Dwi Sasongko,
Secretary of BAPPEDA/Development and Planning Agency, City of Semarang

Yu Sing,
Akanoma Studio

Risnawati Utami,
Organisasi Handicap Nusantara - OHANA

For Indonesian cities to become truly resilient places, that can enable citizens to prosper and thrive, they need to become more inclusive and harness the potential of their diversity. Doing so will help ensure that all different communities are represented, that their problems are considered and can be responded to, especially those of the most marginalized.

Purnomo Dwi Sasongko, Secretary of the Development and Planning Agency of the city of Semarang reminded the audience of Semarang’s tagline “Partnering for Better Semarang’ and reaffirmed that partnership means all stakeholders, especially citizens’ voices have to be considered in the development of their city. Partnership extends beyond formal, scheduled occurrence like annual development and planning meeting (Musrenbang), to include community initiatives and strategic inter-agency collaborations for city development. Mr. Sasongko specifically said that the approach to build resilience in Semarang, have combined infrastructure improvement and considering community participation and initiatives to build smaller scale resilience projects, both in inner city and outer Semarang.

Yu Sing, an architect and a known public thinker reminded the audience of the statistic that by March 2016, the National Statistical Agency recorded that more than 28 million people in Indonesia live in poverty. The fact urges more people to take action because of the poor will be the most vulnerable communities during climate change-induced natural disaster. He listed four main problems that arose for rapid urbanization; housing deficit, unemployment, pollution, and lack of green space in the city.

To increase community resilience, Yu Sing suggested that cities should not forget about the power of ‘kampung’ and the social fabric that made them. Instead of evicting them and turning them into industrialized blocks, he came up with proposal for ‘Super Kampung’. It is a vertical housing where communities living in it have collective rights and responsibilities to take care of their needs from water management, trash management, to other basic needs. It could be done and he drew example from the best practices in Pucang Sawit Solo and Kampung Susun Ari Bandung. He highlighted that local wisdom and know-how including collective action of people in ‘kampung kota’ have the potential to build more sustainable and resilient environment.

Risnawati Utami, a human right activist said that Indonesian cities have the formal policies for the implementation of inclusive, non-discriminatory, and participatory development, but still lacking in following-through. She listed the recent National Law 8/2016 that views persons with disabilities as subject and citizen with rights and responsibilities under the framework of inclusivity and equality. The policy is a step forward as it is no longer views persons with disabilities as ‘object of charity’. It listed universal design and reasonable accommodation as the key principles in designing, planning, and develop inclusive infrastructure in city that would support the all citizens to do activities. There is a pressing need for the implementation of those principles especially because Indonesia has also committed to the global SDGs, specifically goal 11 that aim for sustainable, safe, and resilient cities.
Parallel 1
10.45-12.15

Panel 1
The Uncertain and Inevitable Urban Future of East Indonesia

Panel 2
Defining the ‘Resilient City’: Social and Cultural Perspectives

Panel 3
Disability and Inclusive Cities: the Role of Data and Evidence for Effective Public Policies

Panel 4
Promoting non-Motorized Transportation

Panel 5
Can Indonesian Cities Implement and Uphold The Right to the City?

Panel 6
The City’s Vernacular Heritage

Panel 7
Role of Media in Harnessing SDGs

Panel 8
Youth Volunteerism and Activism in the Making for Better Cities

Panel 9
A Space to Grow: Creating Child Friendly Cities

Workshop 1
Using Social Media and Open Data as Infrastructure for Climate
Cities in East Indonesian, such as Makassar, Manado, and Kupang, are rapidly growing gateways of development that are booming centers of service, trade and growth. This panel discussed the challenges and impacts of urbanization in East Indonesia, as well as the innovation and contribution made by civil society organizations and other stakeholders in shaping the development of these cities.

Wilson M.A Therik, researcher from The Eastern Indonesia Researchers Network (JIKTI), spoke about the development in East Indonesia is dominated by increasing built of simbol ekonomi -the symbol of economy- such as hotel, mall and shopping center without highlighting development of ekonomi simbol -the economy symbol- that he meant as economic activities and commodities. He said that the Eastern Indonesia cities need to escalate its local-based industries than relying on the growth of the symbol of economy which is not helpful to promote its economic growth and employment opportunities.

Andi Adriadi from Balang Institute, NGO based on Bantaeng Municipality, was sharing his participatory mapping worked in rural areas by collaboration with farmer groups. Limited lands in the cities caused villages and rural areas as targeted areas to develop, by doing this mapping activities they hope it could help government to do a better planning in their areas.

In Makassar – South Sulawesi, Edi Ariadi from NGO Kupas shared their work together with government on providing poverty database of Makassar city. This database integrated both of field data and legal data to help government finding where the poor are, and what policy and program can be applied to overcome its poverty problems.
Panel 2

Defining the ‘Resilient City’: Social and Cultural Perspectives

In 2013 the City of Semarang was chosen to join the ‘100 Resilient Cities’ program (100RC), a alliance of cities from around the world that advances efforts to boost resilience and reduce climate change vulnerability. In 2016 Semarang launched and started to implement its City Resilience Strategy. This strategy innovates previous policies by incorporating an understanding of cultural, social, economic and psychological perspectives in how it defines resilience, and responds to climate hazards. This panel shared the ideas, knowledge, innovations used in developing its Resilience Strategy, and in doing so encourage alternative perspectives about how to ensure a Resilient City.

Ms. Purwajati explained city resilience as a collective capacity, including individuals, institutions, business, and a working system to survive, adapt, and develop sustainably. The 100 Resilient Cities program is a global network that provided the necessary resources to help cities develop a roadmap to resilience. A key opportunity from being a part of the network is a fluid share of information, best practices, and learnings. Semarang has become a part of 100 RC since 2009 and it has employed four key resilience strategies as follow: appointed a Chief Resilience Officer as a responsible manager to guide city initiatives and collaboration for climate change resilience, facilitating knowledge exchange, and connecting cities to share about innovation and experiences in tackling climate change.

Mr. Sasongko, Semarang’s Chief Resilience Officer (CRO)said that he appreciated the multi-stakeholders nature of the works with 100 RC program, also the synergy between the pillars of RC program and Semarang City’s Mid-term development plan or the RPJMD. He shared his current work with the program stated that Semarang is currently on the second phase of public advocacy, upbeat communication strategy to all stakeholders and citizens.

Mr. Ekopriyono, a civil servant and a media personality said that Semarang needs to maintain and improve its social resilience. He appreciated the multiculturalism, gotong royong, and collaboration of all sorts of people in Semarang. However, he urged Semarang to realize the pressing needs to extend extra support to the marginalized communities including the urban poor. Prie G.S, a well-known culture thinker and columnist urged that development should orient towards human improvement. In Semarang case, he suggested that development should be inclusive and participatory, also inward-looking in the sense that it would improve the lives of many Semarang citizens first.
Panel 3

Disability and Inclusive Cities: the Role of Data and Evidence for Effective Public Policies

Moderator:
Paulista Surjadi,
Yayasan Kota Kita

Speakers:
Alexander Hauschild,
Inclusive policy expert

Irakli Khodeli,
Program Specialist, Social and Human Sciences, UNESCO in Jakarta

Noviana Dibyantari,
Komunitas Sahabat Difabel, Semarang

Organized by:
UNESCO, Kota Kita

A UNESCO panel on Disability and Inclusive Cities engaged the audience to tackle questions about social inclusion: 1) How can Sustainable Development Goals be linked to social inclusion at the city level? 2) What is the role of data and evidence for effective and inclusive public policies? 3) How can we address the existing gaps in data and evidence?

Noviana Dibyantari of Komunitas Sahabat Difabel, a voluntary support group for persons with disabilities said that citizen participation is imperative to build an inclusive city that recognizes the rights of all citizens. Mrs. Dibyantari summarized the action items that she wished more people would realize, recognize, and work together to realize into a 4Cs principle. They are Change-Chance-Chain-Compete. Her organization works on that principles to make sure that they advocate for policy change on city level to make it more inclusive and recognize the needs of different citizens, about their accessibility to work, public infrastructure, and political rights. An inclusive city according to her, would also give chances to person with disability to do activities like everybody else. She urged for persons with disability to be more active seeking for ways to form groups, connections, and good chain of networks, to increase their quality of life and finding opportunities.

Mr. Hauschild in his presentation listed the steps to ensure that policymakers able to formulate policy that is inclusive and carefully targeted to benefit all citizens. He suggested to all policymakers to consider the different political, social, economic, and cultural contexts in where the policy would be applied at. He also reminded the participants about the importance of “trying, testing, and improving” in drafting inclusive policies. Finally, he said that all policy makers must address intersectionality in formulating policy about inclusivity and ensuring accessibility.

Mr. Khodeli recognized that persons with disabilities make up for a significant number of population in Indonesia but their needs are still largely ignored or fail to be accommodated. As a result they continue to be at a higher risk of poverty, and suffer exclusion from education, health services, and employment opportunities. There is a lack of understanding of their needs, resulting from little data and information about them. He drew example from the recent UNESCO-Kota Kita collaboration in Solo to highlight the importance of participatory, neighborhood-level, data collection method to to strengthen the voices of persons with disabilities in local policy-making.
Urbanization and urban life transition require city to be efficient and effective on utilizing its space. Improving non-motorized transportation should be developed to support urban transportation system. Udaya Laksmana from ITDP presented 4 main principles to support transportation system; walk, cycle, connect and transit oriented. Indonesian cities nowaday have been trying to provide public transportation that also required its supporting system like pedestrian ways and accessibilities for cyclist to reach transportation modes such as station, bus terminal, and others public transportation access.

A movement to promote bicycle also sounded from Subcyclist Surabaya; promote bicycle as daily transportation modes instead of recreational purposes only by creating fun activities through bicycle. Inanta said that it was not easy to invite people for cycling in a mid increasing of motorized transportation. Creating fun bike activities is a starting point for the beginner trying biking around the cities, when the users exist the infrastructures will be.

Anitha Silvia from C2o Library and Collabtive Surabaya shared her experience on running the Johny Walkers and Manic Street Walker project together with their groups on @pertigaanmap. Their activity promotes walking as a way to explore the city – a rare mode of transport in Surabaya. While from Yogyakarta, Elanto Wijoyono shared his experience together with Warga Berdaya community promoting bicycle by painting the road to reclaim the road from cars, motorcycles, and other vehicles. Eventually, their movement was positively responded by government through providing bicycle lane in the city. He also mentioned that proclaiming bicycle is not only for health purposes, but also to explore social life in the city.
Panel 5

Can Indonesian Cities Implement and Uphold The Right to the City?

Moderator:
Ahmad Rifai, Yayasan Kota Kita

Speakers:
Nelson Saule Jr, Global Platform for Right to the City
Sandyawan Sumardi, Human right activist, Ciliwung Merdeka
Marco Kusumawijaya, Rujak RCUS
John Taylor, Yayasan Kota Kita

Organized by:
Global Platform for Right to the City, Kota Kita

One of the most significant outcomes of the Habitat III global summit was the acceptance of the Right to the City as a common concept by national governments throughout the world. For a long time the Right to the City has been used as an advocacy platform to promote a standard set of human rights for people who live in cities; but so far this concept is thought of as Western, most successfully promoted in Latin America. But with its growing global popularity civil society organizations in Indonesia can adopt and use this concept as a way to promote inclusive, socially just, healthy, resilient cities. The panel was hosted by the Global Platform for Right to the City and included speakers from Polis Institute Brazil and prominent human right activist and urbanist from Jakarta.

Nelson Saule Jr. from Polis Institute said that at the heart of Right to the City is the understanding that it is collective rights to realise socially just, inclusive, and democratic cities. The principles can be adopted to different contexts including Indonesia when considering the different living experiences and localities. It could be a groundbreaking principle when applied because it explicitly go against the use of spaces in city to accumulate profits and it aims to restore the use of cities as common good for the citizen.

Sandyawan Sumardi of Ciliwung Merdeka described the work by his organization and the many volunteers, as architect, community organizer, and human right activists to improve the lives of the citizens who live in riverbank in Ciliwung River who are the victims of forced eviction. They work to map the potentials of the space, the citizens, and advocate to the city government maximise the use of land there instead of evicting the area. It is an ongoing struggle and it faces a lot of resistance from the city government and mainstream developmentalist narrative that slum eviction always meant improve lives.

Marco Kusumawijaya, a publicly known urbanist said that history and the deep understanding of history play a huge role to uphold the right to the city principle. Our common understanding is governed by the capitalistic logic that is not necessarily pro-citizens. In regards to the recent cases of forced eviction in many Indonesian cities, he highlighted the importance, for civil society organizations, who work with the evicted communities to understand the complexities that contribute to one’s right to land. This is a form of critical thinking, and they need to (re-)enter mainstream conversation to counter the ongoing narratives about eviction.

John Taylor of Kota Kita highlighted the need to ensure civil society and citizen initiatives and participation to ensure for inclusive urban development,
In many Indonesian cities the revitalization of heritage districts are aimed at boosting tourism. But heritage zones have far more to offer - a sense of spirit, character and identity. This panel session discussed varied perspectives on urban heritage, and highlighted the efforts taken to counter the destruction of the vernacular city and the socially alienating forces of contemporary urbanization.

Anastasia Dwirahmi from Komunitas Hysteria conveyed that the city's vernacular heritage – monumental, folk, and cultural heritage, are interconnected and capable to influence the urban social development as well as the identity of the city. Further, Nurul Kusumaningdyah from Universitas Sebelas Maret, elaborated the steps that can be taken into account on urban development policy planning to conserve the vernacular city, including observation, mapping, and field classification. Taking cases from Kampung Laweyan (Solo) and Kampung Bustaman (Semarang), she emphasized the roles from stakeholders – youths, academics, private sectors, facilitators, governments, and community, to enhance the significance of local wisdom and to foster the empowered community in development.

Adrian Perkasa from Universitas Airlangga, argued that the existence of kampung, from policy maker's perspective, is often viewed as contradictory entity with development and the modernity image of a city. He further said that kampung has its own character and heritage itself is a process where democratic aspect reflected on it. However, the spectacle issues on vernacular city is more likely to put aside the essence of urban heritage from the city and its true identity, and gradually viewing the city as a commodity. At last, the discussion concluded that the tangible and intangible aspects of city need to be considered and supported by the synergy of each stakeholders in deciding the future of the city.
The Sustainable Development Goals which was officially launched in 2015 has 17 goals and 169 indicators that would orient countries around the world in their development plan. Unlike the previous set of goals, Millenium Development Goals that aimed for economic improvement and reducing poverty, the SDGs is more ambitious in reducing inequalities and working towards equity, sustainable, and resilience communities. The SDGs also have specific urban goals in Goal 11 that aims for sustainable, safe, and resilience cities. Media have an important role to mainstream the understanding of the goals and it could have so many opportunities to monitor the implementation and progress of SDGs in Indonesia.

Mr. Abdul Kholiq Arif, Regent of Wonosobo 2005-2015 is one of the many SDGs ambassadors in Indonesia. He is one of the leading example for government officials that practice transparency and good governance. He takes up the role alongside other professionals like Alissa Wahid, a human right and plurality defender, Muhammad Farhan, an actor, and Zoemrotin Susilo, human rights commissioner of the Republic of Indonesia. As a public persona for the advocacy for the implementation of SDGs, Mr. Arif is positive that a lot of citizens would be more aware of what constitute the global goals and how they could contribute to the fulfillment of those goals.

The panel also included stories and experiences of Indonesian journalist and digital media workers in Indonesia. Ms. Ellyda, Ms. Syafitri, and Ms. Narendra agreed that as professionals they have responsibilities to give public information about the implementation of sustainable development goals. Ms. Ellyda claimed that being a journalist means making history and one should take up the responsibility to write with heart and with citizens in mind. News article could also held policymakers accountable. The task gets more challenging because as professionals in the media industry, they also have to consider the market taste. In the era of social media, where everyone could produce news with (alternative) facts, media have the responsibilities to make sure that they report what is going on on the ground. For example, Ms. Syafitri told the participants that anti-eviction might not be a popular stance, but a media outlet have the responsibility to also cover the resistance and struggle, another perspective from that action.

Ms. Rusadi, an avid youth and urban advocate also reminded the participants, especially the young ones to remain vocal and utilize the ease that comes from the use of social media to articulate their thinkings and advocate for sustainable and inclusive development. She said that being a citizen journalist could be one way to enrich the discourse of sustainable development and challenge any misconceptions.
Panel 8
Creative Economic Development and The Cities: Market Creation and Community Based Economy

Citizen participation and collective action known as gotong royong, is central to traditional community life in rural Indonesia. But more and more people are moving to cities to find this spirit missing. In this panel, Turun Tangan and Komune Rakapare shared how they have been modernizing gotong-royong to find communal solutions to urban challenges.

Dimitri from Turun Tangan Jakarta shared how Turun Tangan engaged with citizen by creating Bangun Jakarta movement, an urban farming project in limited land of Jakarta. This program is expected to encourage citizens to produce their vegetables product as well as to create communal activities in the midst of the urban community. While Luluk as a member of Turun Tangan Semarang also shared her experience in one neighborhood, Pekunden – Semarang, engaged with children by doing educational activities like trees planting, environmental improvement, and plan to create mobile library.

Komune Rakapare, a youth group movement based in Bandung was represented by Nayaka Angger. He explained how Rakapare engaged with farmer in Karawang to retook their own land from development without considering socio-economic, culture and environment sustainability. They also educate people by creating Rakapare School - Sekolah Rakapare- as a study group to share their knowledge. Besides Bandung, Komune Rakapare movement also take place in Surabaya through various advocacy activities such as assisting community to obtain clean water, credit load and other different issues around East Java.

This panel concluded that youth as a part of citizen in the cities could pro-actively engaged in different issues on the cities, in different aspects that contribute on their city such as environment, socio-culture, economic, arts and others.
Children are unquestionably crucial in deciding the future of development, not only for a city, but also for a country. The city-friendly city concept is theoretically applied in many cities in Indonesia, however in practice it takes more than translating the policy. This panel shared different experience from each speaker who are heavily involved in children's issues.

Siti Nur Dzakiyyatul Khasanah from Former Head of Central Java Children’s Forum shared that the city is so-called child-friendly where it is capable to provide a space for children in a decent condition. From her experience in the children forum, she mentioned that even though the children issues are already discussed in the participatory planning and budgeting (Musrenbang) for development in Central Java, practically the public awareness towards children rights are still far from expectation.

Dian Sasmita from Sahabat Kapas, who actively engage with children who have crime, also argued that the lack of acknowledgement from government towards children voice is still becoming the main concern. In addition, she said that children also need to be protected from any discrimination acts, considering a fact that children who are infected HIV/AIDS often get alienated from their surrounding. She underlined that children growth is a significant aspect for the implementation of child-friendly city.

Furthermore, Naning Puji from UNICEF Indonesia, heightened that the urban poor children are prone to children violence acts from their parents. There is an urge for child-friendly city to provide a space for children growth, particularly for those who live in the slum area. Children need to be considered as equally important as adults in the urban planning development. Encouraging and educating public about child-friendly city have to out-reach more people through massive socialization, for it can only be realized with the engagement from all stakeholders.
One of the ways to tackle the challenges of climate change and natural disasters is through the strategic use of urban data - that is open, accessible, crowd-sourced from citizens in real-time. The team that brought you PetaJakarta shared their latest innovative project called Petabencana.id. The workshop discussed how people can use social media to give information that supports efforts to reduce risk from disasters such as flooding. There is a very high potential for citizens in Indonesia to use and benefit from social media, there are 79 million people living in Indonesia who is connected digitally and Petabencana.id recorded a hundred thousand active users of their application.

The workshop facilitated by the team from Petabencana.id shared how Cognicity software and social media tools can connect people with information, and in doing so help make cities safer and more resilient to climate change. Further, they shared the flow or a journey of data from a single tweet that turns into meaningful information, including their collaboration with Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana (BNPB) or National Disaster Risk Management Agency.
Parallel 2
13.15-14.45

Panel 10
Tackling Climate Change Impacts Through Inclusive Approaches to Community Resilience

Panel 11
Building Coalitions for Inclusion

Panel 12
Exploring Infrastructural Improvements and Inclusive Economic Development Approaches to Support the Disabled

Panel 13
Participatory Planning and Budgeting in Indonesia: Challenges and the Road Ahead

Panel 14
Youth, Art, and Challenges with the Cities of the Future

Panel 15
Integrating Women and Children into Urban Development: Women and Child-Friendly Cities

Panel 16
The Impact of Land Reclamation on Cities: How to Turn the Tide

Panel 17
If you’re Sick of Driving, Try Walking

Panel 18
Livable Housing and Habitat is a Key to Urban Development

Panel 19
Urban Development Based on Indonesia Urbanism

Workshop 2
Workshop: Ecobrick; Introduction to Plastic Waste Management
Panel 10

Tackling Climate Change Impacts Through Inclusive Approaches to Community Resilience

While climate change continues to have a massive impact upon cities around the world, much progress is being made to reduce its threat, including preventive action and building resilience. Innovative practices such as the Asian Cities Climate Change Resilience Network (ACCCRN) learning forum, and new approaches to community involvement, are helping to bring many more stakeholders and sectors together to build resilience. While before, responding to climate change may have had a scientific or engineering approach, new methods emphasize community participation, knowledge management, and the involvement of minority groups.

Dani Miarso from Health Department of the City of Semarang said that dengue fever is one of the most threatening case of health condition that brought about by extreme climate change and, Semarang is the number one city with the biggest widespread of dengue fever. The Health Department has been working with other related departments including BMKG or Indonesian Agency for Meteorological, Climatological and Geophysics to predict high raining season and opening a SMS getaway reporting system to report the first outbreak of dengue fever in one Kelurahan or neighborhood. Another activities to improve resilience is community assessment and mapping and capacity building of health professionals.

Mr. Badar, a member of community organisation in Cirebon, West Java has been working with city government and other organizations to diversify the sources of clean water. He made systems to harvest rain water and wudhu prayer water leftover to increase sources for clean water, especially during dry season.

Mr. Mukhlis, an academician suggested that for community-based, city resilience initiatives to succeed, we need to consider the three main ideas: capacity building for citizens in collaborating with city government to implement climate change resilience initiatives, having climate change resilience framework inform every step of the way in the urban development agenda, finally he highlighted the importance of formalisation of climate change resilience and community initiatives into school curriculum. He reminded the importance of changing the mindset since early age that preventive action and climate change resilience initiative could start with a simple act and at an early age.
The public viewed marginalized groups only on the surface, but in reality, our society does not even want to touch their lives. Marginalized groups get discrimination and negative stigma from the society, they are oftenly isolated from various public access such as social, educational, economic, and other services. What the public needs to understand is that marginalized groups, including transvestites, have the same right as other members of society, they also deserve to get access to various public facilities.

The discussion in this panel depicted how marginal groups, including transvestites, blend in with the community against the negative stigma that is developed in our society. Marginalized group can also be capable members of society that are reliable and productive, it's just that the potentials this marginalized groups have is covered by the negative stigma in society.

The government is concerned with the marginalized groups and they support Program Peduli. Program Peduli is a program that embodies social inclusion for groups of people who have experienced negative stigma and marginalization, which is the community of transvestites. They also provide socialization to the public about the existence of marginalized groups and raise awareness in order to resolve the discrimination against them. KESBANGPOL (Kesatuan Bangsa dan Politik) in this matter, has the role of facilitators. They provide guidances for community organizations, in order to build cooperation to achieve collective goals.
People with disability are part of the community, and they are capable to be productive members of society. Nowadays, the negative stigma in society about people with disability is still growing. Disabled people are often isolated and face discrimination in access in the areas of social, economic, employment, education, and other fields.

Nuah Tarigan from Gerakan Peduli Disabilitas dan Lepra Indonesia explained that there should be cooperation between society, government, and communities, so that people with disability and lepers (leprosy sufferers) could survive and overcome the discrimination. Disabled people and lepers will not be seen as marginalized groups if they can get out of their circle and blend in with the community while also get involved in social activities.

People with disability are still having difficulty in terms of accessing public facilities. Emmy Yuniarti from APUFY representative argues that not many people actually understand about disability, so that a lot of problems concerning this matter, such as public infrastructures which are accessible to people with disability receive less attention.

The speakers also agree that people with disability should be included in community activities, so they can blend in with the community and can reduce the negative stigma in society against the disabled.
Panel 13

Participatory Planning and Budgeting in Indonesia: Challenges and the Road Ahead

Moderator: Fuad Jamil, Yayasan Kota Kita

Speakers:
Ahmad Rifai
Yayasan Kota Kita

Edi Ariadi
Kupas, Makassar

Suci Hidayani
Researcher, Solo

Lieuwe De Boer
Researcher, Netherlands

Yusuf M
Formasi Kebumen

Organized by:
Yayasan Kota Kita

Indonesia adopted participatory planning and budgeting in the early 2000s, making it one of Asia’s first countries to do so. The practice allows communities a significant way in how their public funds are spent. This panel took stock of how this process has evolved and what it has achieved.

Lieuwe De Boer discussed that even though the participatory planning and budgeting has been being implemented for more than a decade, the opportunities for participation in neighbourhoods are still less representative due to its limited invitation to the discussion forum. Different research in Yogyakarta, undergone by Suci Handayani, illustrated that some innovations are applied to revive the practice of participatory planning and budgeting. Given the fact that the process takes too long, complicated, and its lack of realization, the local government applies the indicative budget ceiling and block grant to make the planning process closer to community while they also better independently manage the budget. Other innovation is a thematic forum established for better address the needs of marginal community – women, children, and disabled people.

Further, Edi Ariadi from Kupas Makassar explained the technology-based innovation, used in Makassar, on participatory planning and budgeting through SIPPĐD application. In short, it creates a more transparent system for people can together control the development in the city.

Ahmad Rifai from Kota Kita shared his research in 6 cities – Solo, Yogyakarta, Surabaya, Bandung, Makassar, and Kebumen. The implementation of participatory planning and budgeting in cities generally applied based on national regulation, but its practice mostly influenced by the dynamic condition in its grassroots level where CSOs have significant roles in supporting it. He then suggested there is a need to strengthen the facilitator capacity, revitalize the NGOs, consider budget devolution, foster the use of IT and urban information, and encourage evaluation, to promote the sustainable and inclusive participatory mechanism.
Young people are often left out of the decision-making process that shapes their cities, yet they represent a pool of creativity and energy, as seen in the country’s vibrant artistic movements. This panel discussed the role of Indonesian artists in shaping urban centres through art and creativity to reclaim the space for diversity and cultural expressions in the city. They agreed that art in any forms have the opportunities to initiate conversation, sparks debate about political, economical, and socio-cultural problems in the city.

Mr. Pius, a curator of Biennale Yogyakarta said that the bi-annual art event in the city has evolved into a public space that invited a lot of audiences everytime. The themes that were introduced ranging from questioning the class problems that contribute to inequality and ongoing contestation of space, also redefinition of cities for all. Mr. Budi whose work focused in the capital city of Jakarta argues that art should be able to interact with public and evokes a new consciousness about their living condition. He shared his experiences of making public art in the form of mural in Jakarta’s busway waiting rooms.

Mr. Junandaru, vocalist of the nationally known folk duo, Silampukau shared his intentions with the music and lyrics of Silampukau that aims to tell the everyday stories of the everyday people of Surabaya. Aiming to be a storyteller, his duo does not only tell the beautiful, sterilized stories of their city.

Mr. Prasetyo from Solo and Mr. Majid from Semarang also shared their experiences in collaborating with multi-stakeholders, that include city government, grassroot community groups, students, artists in revitalizing the art scene in their respective cities. They highlighted the importance of collaboration for sustainability and also the significance of accessible public spaces like Hysteria and Ruang Atas as a common room for exchange of ideas.

All speakers agreed that contestation of spaces and imbalance power relation in the city is perpetual and art could be the most effective form to confront this.
Women in Indonesia still often play a marginal role in the decision making that shapes cities, and as a result, their needs, and often the needs of children, go ignored. This panel viewed at examples from an array of field, including urban agriculture and renewable energy, to consider how cities can become more sensitive and accommodating of women and children.

Dian Noeswantari mentioned that Indonesia has ratified all the international convention on women and children which then referred as the foundation for law in each government level. However, safety, discrimination, and domestic violence issues are still concerning women and children. She further explained that the urban development need to consider accessibility for women and children, awareness towards their rights, their participation in development, and public control on development.

Following up the discussion, Tuani Lidiawati highlighted the role of women to encourage the healthy city, started from their family. Promoting and applying the urban farming can be an alternative for overcoming the limited land in urban area as well as creating the greenery corner in each house. In bigger picture, by doing so, women can participate in accomplishing the sustainable farming.

Elieser Tarigan discussed that the energy is mostly used in cities, while producing around 70% of air pollution. The dependence of city towards un-renewable energy cannot just be ignored since the stock is limited, moreover it causes environmental pollution, global warning, or climate change. He explained that there are two pillars of sustainable energy. Firstly, using the alternative renewable energy. Secondly, using the energy efficiency in daily activity, started from educating the energy-saving lifestyle to children. In this way, children are encouraged to participate in the practice of sustainable development.
Indonesia’s waterfront cities are being dramatically transformed by man-made land reclamation projects that dump earth along the coast to extend land surface areas. These projects often fail to consider the threat they pose to traditional coastal communities and fragile ecosystems. Panelists identified the different ways that civil society groups are responding to land reclamation controversies, specifically in Bali and Jakarta, and explore solutions.
Panel 17

If you’re Sick of Driving, Try Walking

Facilitator:
Udaya Laksmana Kartiyasa
ITDP

Organized by:
The Institute for Transportation and Development Policy (ITDP), Indonesia

ITDP welcomed audience from small cities, medium, and even big cities to participate into the discussions. This panel designed without panelist, but instead all the participants have to share their ideas and experiences through walking. This panel discussion begins by answering some questions; why are you sick of driving? Are walking can be a solution? What are challenges that you face when walking?

Walking remains a challenge in a storming increased of motorized especially in Indonesian big cities. Narrow pedestrian ways, no separator between sidewalks and roads, air pollutions, unrespect from motorized are certain problems they faced when walking.

Besides discussing problems, participants also discussed the solution to face many problems on transportation issues. Required infrastructure improvements for pedestrian ways and people to find walking is a part of transportation modes, includes pointing that walking is not only for those who cannot afford private car, but also as a solution of congestion. In some cases, social law enforcement contributes to educate motorists, such as the action to block the big motorcycle in Yogyakarta and kid who confront motorcyclist on the sidewalk. High respect among road users and respect the rights of pedestrians on the road are necessary to create a safe and comfortable walking situation.
Housing and settlements are considered as basic human needs. It is a part of human rights, in order to meet various needs such as social, security, love, and self-actualization that can be started from home and the settlement itself. According to Herbet Berimbing from Habitat for Humanity Indonesia, housing is a major requirement that is needed by all humans. Herbet also explained that Habitat for Humanity moves to build decent housing and settlements as a primary need. Those housing and settlements should be developed to accommodate a lot of function, such as health, education, and love.

A decent house means that the design should also pay attention to accessibility for people with disability. According to Gunawan Tanawidjaja, the inclusive housing design for disabled people should be designed properly and precisely with considerations to facilitate the users. In addition to the well designed houses, it should also be affordable.

Looking at the rapid urbanization rate, the role of government in providing decent yet affordable housing and settlements is very important. The massive numbers of low and middle income groups is one of the considerations for them to be placed on vertical housing. But in reality, the construction of housing for low and middle income groups is done by expanding horizontally and vertically, maximizing the utilization of the space for activity.
The pace of urbanization which happens rapidly should be anticipated with a variety of policy and spatial planning as well as focused economic and socio-ecological development. Moreover, cities in Indonesia should also be equipped with the ability to compete globally.

Hendro Sangkoyo describes the damage of socio-ecological side of this matter. He argued that urbanization is a part of the extraction process of financial cooperation between man and nature. There has to be a rival to the rationality of the materials, energy, space, and time, as well as protocol creation for the recovery of socio-ecological crisis.

Andy Siswanto who discussed the damage from the side of urban economy, argued that there are problems in our local economic practices in Indonesia, one of them is the instability of city center that is not capable to survive. It is because the city center often lost the competition against the city economic center in many places, so the revitalization of the local economic is needed.

Meanwhile, Djoko Subekti and Jo Santoso agreed that when planners and architects create spatial planning, they should not ignore the existing concepts. There are different space management principles for each region. Moreover, spatial planners should also understand about social values that exist in the community, so that the differences between the concept plans and the existing conditions can be minimized.
Ani Himawati introduced vision ecobrick as one solution handling the plastic waste. Ecobrick is a plastic bottle stuffed solid with non-biological waste to create a reusable building block, made by packing solid a drinking bottle with one type of non-recyclable non-biodegradable (i.e. plastic, cellophane, styrofoam, etc) as solution to recycle plastic waste. Ani Himawati said that ecobricks are an exciting way that we can take action today to stop pollution and to start envisioning a healthier way of living with our environment. Plastic waste can also pollute the environment, made from petro-chemicals that are not environmental friendly. Russel added when these chemicals leach into the land, water and air, they are absorbed by plants and animals.

In this workshop, Russel and Ani also train the participants to create ecobricks by utilizing drinking bottles, plastics waste, glue, and bamboo to make it solid. This movement can be a solution dealing with plastic waste, through recycle the waste, we also contribute to save our planet, to sustain the environmental.
Parallel 3
13.15-14.45

Panel 20
Promoting Creative Economic Development for Cities

Panel 21
Finding Participatory Solutions for Urban Riverbank Settlements

Panel 22
Heritage Preservation: Citizens in Action

Panel 23
Compassionate Action Indonesia

Panel 24
What is The Best Way to Utilize Public Spaces?

Panel 25
Promoting Safe and Accessible Public Spaces and Mobility for Women and Children

Panel 26
Youth Citizenship in Action: the Urban Citizenship Academy

Panel 27
Indonesia’s Smart Cities: Between Ideas and Realities

Panel 28
Art, Literature, and Technology Creating a New Narrative for The City

Panel 29
URDC Lab: Community and Student Participatory Urban Planning and Design in Solo and Semarang
City administrations are taking the initiative to find ways to promote innovation, creativity and entrepreneurship as ways to stimulate economic growth and serve rapidly evolving needs. This panel discussed how partnerships between the private sector, local government, universities and research institutions, as well as young people, can help a city's economy thrive.

Bening Dwiono from BAPPEDA Jawa Tengah encouraged to develop integrated and sustainable agriculture initiatives and practices in cities in Indonesia. Promoting the asset base capacity development with appreciative inquiry becomes significant. Applied through ‘Indonesia Berkebun’ program, he said that it is an alternative to educate people in utilizing unused land and optimize the use of rooftop by planting spirulina.

Roy Wibisono, a Semarang-based ceramic entrepreneur conveyed that creative industry as local economic development needs to be supported for its benefit in providing employment for locals. Taking an example from ceramic industry case, he further shared that SMEs (micro, small and medium-sized enterprises) are on the state of lacking in the availability of particular resources, including information and technology, raw material and fuel, and human resources. He suggested to assist locals in improving their management skill in a way the creative industry can become more sustainable.

Massa from Creative Economic Forum of the City of Semarang then explained that Micro Business Simulation provides and develops a critical awareness on SMEs. It is already applied in Municipality of Kendal, Central Java and affiliated with Local Bank through planning; managing supplier, consumer and employee; managing product, technology, investment, registration, licensing, and promotion.

Ms. Solichah from GIZ mentioned that the development of creative city needs both of hard infrastructure (i.e. technology, public facility, etc.) and soft infrastructure (i.e. skill, dynamic thinking, transparency, etc.). Supported by the participatory planning, the inclusive city is aimed for addressing people’s need. She suggested that the good implementation strategy and comprehensive monitoring evaluation system are other factors need to take into account.

At last, the discussion suggested that the collaborative efforts from all elements are significant in promoting creative economic innovation and local economic development for cities.
In recent years urban riverbank settlements, in cities like Jakarta, Bandung have become an increasingly controversial issue in Indonesian cities. The combined forces of climate change impacts like flooding, the rising value of land in the inner city, and inadequate drainage infrastructure, conspire to justify their eviction in order to promote wider river channels. While the government has been quick to offer large-scale housing and as a reasonable alternative, and defend the need to alleviate flood risk, there is little consideration for the communities forced to leave, nor agreement as to what is best for the poor. So far there is no consensus as to the most appropriate policy response that reconciles the range of social, economic and physical vulnerabilities at stake.

This panel brought together activists, NGOs, academics, and residents outlining the current problems in Bandung, Jakarta, Surabaya, Solo, and Yogyakarta and propose a set of solutions, put forward by and for the people, to best address those issues. The panelists agreed that the role of citizens and riverbank communities is imperative to improve the conditions of people living in the settlements. Academic institutions and civil society organizations must also take the conscious decision to familiarise their students and focus their activities in the riverbank settlements to build empathy and harness solidarity. Collaboration and participation is the first steps toward inclusive urban development.

This panel has provided a space of reflection and activism during the last four Urban Social Forums to promote alternatives to current practices of eviction and relocation of urban riverbank settlements.
The conservation of architectural heritage in Indonesia’s “old districts” can revitalize local economies and restore a sense of identity to cities. But it is not a task for the government or technical experts alone – it requires the active involvement of citizens. This panel shared examples of how citizen participation has become a social and political movement for change.

Elanto Wijoyono from Pegiat Warga Berdaya discussed how his observation in Yogyakarta underlined that development is interrelated with social complexity, thus it takes varied consideration to preserve urban heritage. Reza Adhitama from Kampoeng Bogor discussed how locals view the heritage preservation as an issue they have no knowledge about and more likely dominated by architects. He encouraged that the heritage preservation is not only about romanticizing the aesthetic side, but also the vernacular side, the substance from the heritage and its practical needs for its surrounding.

Emphasizing the need of collaboration, Muhammad Yogi Fajri from Lopen Semarang said that the preservation takes everyone’s effort, not only the community’s tasks. He later shared his community’s activity to socialize and raise youth awareness in preserving the history of Semarang through documentation – online archiving, verification, and publishing.

The lack of youth awareness in preserving history also realized by Daniel Frits Maurits Tangkilisan from Rumah Kartini. Reassuring the historical value in Jepara, his community works on several activities include (i) collecting, documenting, and educating the history, art and culture of Jepara to locals and out reach more people; (ii) bringing, introducing, and promoting creative industries up to international scale.

Bagus Priyana from Kota Toea Magelang conveyed that locals have significant role in preserving history. Unfortunately, the enthusiasm of Magelang people in learning history seemed at first not well-responded by government. His community then initiated the historical tourism movement and archived the cultural documents. They also approached and advocated government, which later followed up by the making of local government policy on heritage preservation. Further, taking an example of developing a community named Kesengsem Lasem, Baskoro Pop from Rembang Heritage Society also encouraged the community-based tourism movement on heritage preservation.
Cities can implement innovative programs and policies to address the challenges of urban living, but often there is an important element that is overlooked — compassion. Ali bin Zed from Compassionate Indonesia spoke how this movement initiated by Karen Armstrong, and how this initiative followed by 6 cities in Indonesia; Banyuwangi, Bandung, Sragen, Bojonegoro, Sumedang dan Purwakarta. Those cities have embraced and signed out a Charter for Compassion to promote peace and compassionate trying to implement it in their cities policies and regulations.

Soni Abunawas from Compassionate Sumedang shared his experience how compassionate actions started in Sumedang derived by the school, called International Green School. Through this initiative they also taught student to be more aware in their environment, inculcated the values that affection is not only between human and human but also between human and their natures.

Ali also said that it was a challenging for Compassionate Action Indonesia to bring out the movement to be duplicated in other cities in order to create humanize city and humanize citizens. In the future they have a mission to escalate many cities in Indonesia to initiate this movement and sign out a Charter for Compassion to spread the compassion around Indonesian cities.
Open space in cities becomes matter for its added value to economic, social, and environment. However, it is gradually facing challenges from negative images attached to open space (i.e. dirty, dark, vandalism, sexual harrasement, drug deals, criminality, etc.) which devaluing the existence of open spaces. This panel explored how speakers take action to bringing back the potential of open space in different cities.

Niken Prawestiti from AyoKeTaman conveyed that open spaces is capable to create happiness. Thus, her community aspired to restore the idea of open space as a common place in which make cities becoming better, attractive, and lively. Started from 2011, they promote awareness of open space through #AyoKeTaman movement in Jakarta. They also initiated online platform (ayoketaman.com) -- using crowdsourcing to marking and making the most of public space.

Bobby A.M Norman from Komunitas Joglo Nusantara shared his community's experience in rejuvenating Situ Pengasinan Depok. They improved the aesthetic space by constructing park without changing the vernacular activity. Situ Pengasinan was then developed as a common space to engage people; to discuss, create and share ideas, action, narration, and collaboration. Their community also initiated Saung Nusantara, a space for local youth meeting, in which reviving the existence of youth in Depok.

Miftahul Rozaq from Forum Solo Hijau also mentioned that green space can be maximized as a place to gather, interact, discuss, and inspire ideas. Conveying his concern, his community engaged to campaign Solo Kota Hijau – to educate and utilize the green space in Solo. He realized that collaboration and consistency from all elements.
Public spaces and social infrastructures, such as roads, parks and public transportation, can help women access opportunities. But women often face challenges using them -- they can be subjected to harassment on public transportation and feel unsafe at night. This panel discussed the many challenges women face in cities, and how civil society mobilization and policy making can make public spaces women friendly.

This panel begins through sharing experienced from Nana Produngge, Bike to Work Semarang, about her experienced during biking. Nana bikes every day to go and back from work, lots of challenges she faced; crowded roads, no separation between cyclists and motorists, hot weather, geographic conditions are some challenges for cyclists especially women.

Nisa Thamrin from Kota Kita shared the research study of Pedalista; a movement to promote bicycle as alternative transportation designed for women; housewife, factory workers, girl students, etc. In their research by interviewing some women group, many obstacles and challenges during biking are identified. Increasing of motorized, lack of security mainly in desolate areas, disturbances, mugging cases, reckless driver were found as unsafe mobility for women. High numbers of minor using motorcycles were also contributing on high number of accidents.

Titit who also initiated bike to school movement in Solo city shared his experienced together with bicycle communities and governments promote sustainable transportation by promote the use of bicycle. In their activities, bike communities, governments, NGOs collaborated and took actions together to promote safety riding for the minors group as well as adults. Promoting bicycle as one of transportation mode for students to go and back from the school can be impacted on decreasing use of motorized by minors and reducing possibility accident cases on the road. Provision of infrastructures and cycling facilities is a need to increase the safety for its user.
Passionate young activists are transforming their cities across Indonesia, whether it is by cleaning up a river, promoting cycling to school or improving road safety. Kota Kita hosted this panel, in which young activist groups that have taken part in the Urban Citizenship Academy will present their advocacy campaigns and activities.

From Solo, Zulyani Evi from Rumah Hebat Indonesia initiated program to educate children including taught them to be more aware of their environment. Many educational activities have been carried out; greentrip program, green art, as well as environment campaign for the kids in order to build their awareness to protect their environment.

Arina and Rini from Surabaya shared their experience to create a friendly open playing ground for children. This project conducted in Wonokromo, as an industrial neighborhood area in Surabaya. The mobility of heavy vehicles were quite high, lack of public space for children to play making them playing on the street that was really harmful for them. Arina said, to create a friendly playground for the children should be also including them in the process such as to specify where the safe place for playing, how the design was, and others.

Bisma, a student from Yogyakarta, together with his Urban Citizenship Academy group created a project to utilized remaining open space in Kampung Code, Yogyakarta. They initiated to utilize the remaining space by creating its design, approaching community so that it can be utilized optimally. Their initiatives showed us that youth in urban areas can provide solutions and concrete actions and contribute as citizens in their own cities.
The idea of Smart City is becoming increasingly popular in Indonesia, what does it mean exactly? It can involve connecting citizens with services through social media, or using technology to bring about change, for example. This panel discussed to more clearly define the Smart City and looked for ways it could become a force of change rather than an illusory buzzword.

Nicholas from Tim Indeks Kota Cerdas Indonesia, highlighted that applying apps or technology is not the only factor that constitutes the smart city. To make the smart city possible to be implemented, the economic, social, and environmental aspects in cities must be integrated with the interrelated enablers, including (1) ICT (Information Communication Technology), (2) people, how they adapt with the rapid dissemination of information, and (3) the governance (tata kelola), how cities get, proceed, and provide the information. When the smart city is practiced, it is expected to solve the urban problems faster and effectively, and reduce the social cohesion, while still maintain the city’s character.

Agreed with Nicholas, Prasetyo Andy Wicaksono from Jakarta Smart City, mentioned that the technology is not the solution, but a tool to know, understand, and control the use of resources in the city to provide effective solution, and encourage the sustainable development. In Jakarta, the smart city is applied to make the city more efficient and informational which enable the collaborative efforts so the government can provide better public service and more transparent, listen more to people’s voice, and promote public participation. Together with government, people can contribute to make a better city.

Ahmad Yusron from the Telecommunication agency of the city of Makassar, discussed that the idea of smart city and its reality sometimes go in the contrary condition for lack of understanding in translating the smart city concept into practice. The readiness of people and their interaction are also important in its implemention. He shared his experience in Makassar where the local wisdom is encouraged to be applied thorough the smart city program. For instance, there is Tangkasarong App, an application that facilitates the community, waste-bank unit, and waste-bank center in managing the information of waste and crafts product, which featured in local language to better understood by locals.

Mekaputra Yudahandika from smartschool.id, mentioned that students need to be prepared as future generation by embracing the smart city concept in schools.
Panel 28

Art, Literature, and Technology Creating a New Narrative for The City

Activists and artists from Makassar are discovering the rich and complex narratives of their own local communities; their works are helping to spur reflection and action about urban-rural linkages and other contemporary urban paradoxes. This panel discussed initiatives that promote the role of art, literature, and information technology as ways to communicate narratives about city life, and build understanding about the places where we live. The panel also included the Surabaya experience as told by Wahyu Gunawan of c2o Library and Collabtive, a public library and discussion space that promotes collaboration, networks, and share of ideas.

Mr. Rachman of Tanah Indie, a Makassar-based collective and a writer-editor affiliated to Ininawa Publishing in Makassar said that these days, knowledge production and distribution is getting incredibly faster. Book titles are competing with digital news that have almost no break in production 24-hours a day for a whole year. In this regard, he suggested that community library and open space to be more active and creative in promoting literacy to urban citizens. Tanah Indie is open for collaborative works and discussions and he urged for the sustainability of this kind of place, also in support for more accessible community library. This vision is shared by Bobi and his work at Kedai Buku Jenny. He highlighted the importance of such spaces to nurture local talents and to accommodate discussions and creative expressions about urban and social ideas, while contributing to the role of literature to advance discussions about urban activism.

Mr. Rachman added that since 2012, he has expanded the work of his collective and al opening a citizen journalism website called makassarnolkm.com. The digital site encourages more people to write and document their experiences, while discussing relevant social and cultural, political, and economic topic discussions.

Mr. Gunawan from c2o Library in Surabaya also shared the different ways the library contribute to the knowledge production and distribution about the city to Surabaya citizens. Beside opening the library as a public discussion space, c2o has a regular activity which they called Manic Street Walkers or a walking tour that encourages people in Surabaya to discover their kampung kota. It also has a regular activity of bi-annual design forum and multimedia production.
Panel 29

URDC Lab: Community and Student Participatory Urban Planning and Design in Solo and Semarang

This panel hosted by Urban-Rural Design and Conversation of the Universitas Sebelas Maret discussed the different initiatives and collaborations to improve citizen participation in the making of their cities. The student groups described their experiences when working with communities to improve the living condition through participatory design processes and consultation in different kampungs in Solo, including Sangkrah and Kali Rahman.

Mr. Cipta from Komunitas Hysteria also shared his experience working in a community resilience program in Kampung Kemijen in Semarang through cultural and art activities. The representative from youth community group of Kampung Badran, Yogyakarta also shared their initiatives to turn their kampung into a child-friendly zone, utilizing unused spaces within the area and turn them into playing field. The design process was done in collaboration with the citizens of Kampung Badran. The youth group of Kampung Badran also actively improve the identity of their home which at the past known for its high criminal rate and empower local citizens to express themselves through art. Their monthly art and discussion stage is revitalizing the living condition of Kampung Badran and it could be realized through collaboration, including with private company, other organizations, and city government.
The day after the 4th Urban Social Forum, on December 4, 2016 Yayasan Kota Kita and its partners hosted the Global Platform for the Right to the City (GPR2C), an international advocacy movement, and Indonesian civil society organizations met for a training on the implementation and operationalization of the Right to the City on the ground. The meeting gave participants the opportunity to learn about the principles, goals and actions of the Right to the City globally. The training also explored the feasibility of using ‘Right to the City’ as an umbrella platform to unify the different urban-focused activisms in Indonesia. Nelson Saule Jr. from Polis Institute, Brazil and Ahmad Rifai from Kota Kita facilitated the training.

The first session of the training was facilitated by Nelson Saule where he asked the participants to define Right to the City and how they would relate to the different comprehension and experiences of one’s city. He reaffirmed the need to find different perspectives based on each localities for how the Right to the City can be implemented, in different geographical situations, sociocultural, and political contexts and jurisdictions. Nelson explained that the principle concept of Right to the City is that all inhabitants have their “rights to occupy, to use and to produce just, inclusive and sustainable cities” and in that light must be seen as a collective rights rather than an individual human right.

Using the framework of ‘cities as common good’, the second session of the training challenged the participants to think of the different ways they would want cities in Indonesia to be. The participants, reflecting on the recent Indonesian cities experience, listed these ideals as the immediate goals of their activisms: cities without forced eviction, democratic and participatory cities, physically and socially healthy cities, children- and women-friendly cities, a city with sustainable food production and consumption (food security), a city with sustainable livelihood (without outsourcing policy),

The third and the last session of the training was facilitated by Ahmad Rifai to further discuss the operationalisation of R2C in Indonesian cities. There were two questions raised in the session:

1. Please identify existing practices, actions, and initiatives toward the implementation of Right to the City in Indonesia that you?
2. What to prepare to strengthening the idea of operationalisation of R2C in Indonesian cities.

The two questions got the participants talked about their current works and paved ways to connect and integrate works of each organizations in different cities. The final discussion asked participants for their proposal to strengthen the implementation of Right to the City in Indonesian cities and listed the following ideas:

1. For the implementation of Right to the City to succeed, CSOs must identify the possible legal basis of R2C implementation in Indonesian cities.
2. To further identify different initiatives and actions in Indonesian cities that are most relevant to be seen in the light of joint actions to uphold the R2C.
3. To mainstream the R2C, CSOs need to conduct more formal meetings in the future, inviting wider stakeholders such as KOMNAS HAM (Human Rights Commision) and other human rights-related organizations.
The Global Platform for the Right to the City
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4th Urban Social Forum in Figures

Total participants and speakers representing 09 countries

Total participants and speakers representing 34 cities in Indonesia
4th Urban Social Forum in Figures

PARTICIPANTS

1,294 Total pre-event online registrations as per Dec 03

1,011 121 31 Total participants Total speakers Total panels on Dec 03

Profession of participants

Total participants and speakers representing 226 institutions based in Indonesia and global institutions
The 4th Urban Social Forum is a part of the World Social Forum initiative and the World Social Forum 2016 extension. Remote participants from around the world were able to join the Forum through live streaming service on YouTube and live chatroom on Skype. The statistics are as follow:

**Skype**

![Radar Chart]

- Women
- Disability
- Riverbank
- Smart Cities
- UCA
- Land Reclamation
- Kampung Kota
- Coalition for Inclusion
- Recilient cities
- Right to the city
- East Indonesia
- Participatory budgeting
The chatroom remains active and will be updated with conversations from events within WSF extension from around the world. To participate you can join via: bit.ly/wsfindonesiaskype
Another city is possible!
## Institutions

<p>| 1. | 100 Resilient Cities, Semarang |
| 2. | 100 Resilient Cities, Singapore |
| 3. | Akademi Komunitas Negeri Blitar |
| 4. | Akademi Pelayaran Niaga Indonesia (AK-PNLI) Semarang |
| 5. | Akademi Pendidikan Ilmu Sosial (AESK) Semarang |
| 6. | Architecture Sans Frontières Indonesia (ASF-ID) Bandung |
| 7. | Architecture Sans Frontières Indonesia (ASF-ID) Jakarta |
| 8. | Arsitek Komunitas (ARKOM) Yogyakarta |
| 9. | ASB Indonesia and the Philippines, Jakarta |
| 10. | ASEIF Yogyakarta |
| 11. | Asia Research Institute (ARI) |
| 12. | ASRI |
| 13. | Aurora Studio |
| 14. | AyuKelam, Jakarta |
| 15. | Badan Pusat Statistik, Jakarta |
| 16. | Badan Pelayaran Niaga Indonesia (BPNI) Surabaya |
| 17. | Badan Pelayaran Negeri Blitar |
| 18. | Badan Pemerintah Pusat dan Per- |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Institution Name and Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Pusat Kajian Politik Universitas Indonesia (Puskapol) UI, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Pusat Keluarga Berencana Indonesia (PKB), Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Pusat Pelayanan Perencanaan Pembuguanan Partisipatif (PP) Undip, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Pusat Studi Energi Terbarukan, UBAYA, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Pusat Studi Hak Asasi Manusia, UBAYA, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Pusat Studi Infrastruktur, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Pusat Studi Lingkungan UBAYA, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Puskesmas Pucanggading, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Radar Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Rapper Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Researcher, Netherlands Belanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Ruang Atas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Ruang Magazine, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Rujak RCM, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Rumah Kartini, Jepara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Rumah Kartini, Surakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Rumah Hebat Indonesia, Surakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Rumah Khatib, Surakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Rumah Khatib, Surakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Sabahat Kapas, Solo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>Satunama, Yogyakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>SD IT Permata Bunda Demak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Sekolah Alas Ar-Ridho, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Sekolah Alas Insan Mulla, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>Sekolah Tinggi Kejuruan Dan Ilmu Pendidikan (STIKIP) Garut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Sentraland Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Servum Studio, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Seroja, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>Silampukau, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Sineroom, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>SKALE Creative Space, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>smartschool.id, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>SMP Alas Ar-Ridho, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>Social &amp; Human Sciences/SHS, UNESCO, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>Solo HSJau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>Suara Mendekka, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Sukyaskit, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>Tanahindie, Makassar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>The Climate Reality Project, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>Tim Indexis Kota Cerdas Indonesia, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>Tirto.Id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>Trax FM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>TurunFangan Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>TurunFangan Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Institutions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>UGM-KitaKamarkot, Yogyakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>UNICEF Indonesia - Perwakilan Jawa dan NTB, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>Unika Soegijapranata, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>Universitas 17 Agustus 1945, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>Universitas 17 Agustus 1945, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>Universitas Airlangga (UNAIR), Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>Universitas Andalas (UNAND), Padang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>Universitas Brawijaya (UNIBRAW), Malang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>Universitas Brawijaya, Malang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>Universitas Dian Nuswantoro (UDENUS), Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>Universitas Diponegoro (UNDIP), Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>Universitas Esa Unggul, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>Universitas Gadjah Mada (UGM), Yogyakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>Universitas Hasanuddin (UHAMAS), Makassar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>Universitas Indonesia (UI), Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>Universitas Islam Indonesia (UII), Yogyakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Alauddin, Makassar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Sunan Ampel, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Sunan Kalijaga, Yogyakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Malisongo, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA), Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>Universitas Katolik (UNIKA) Soegijapranata, Semarang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Universitas Kristen Petra, Surabaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>Universitas Kristen Satya Wacana (UKSW) Saatigia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>Universitas lampung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>Universitas Perbu Buana, Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>Universitas Muhamadiyah Malang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>Universitas Muhamadiyah Semarang (UMANDI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Universitas Negeri Surakarta (UNS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Universitas Negeri Surakarta (UNS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>Universitas Negeri Jakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>Universitas Negeri Makassar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>Universitas Negeri Malang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>Universitas Negeri Semarang (Unnes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>Universitas Sebelas Maret (UNS) Surakarta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>Universitas Semarang (USM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Universitas Surabaya (UBAYA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>Universitas Tarunanegea (UNTAR), Jakarta</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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